

All correspondence should be directed to Whitham, Curtis & Christofferson, P.C., 11491 Sunset Hills Road, Suite 340, Reston, Virginia 20190. Telephone calls should be directed to Whitham, Curtis & Christofferson, PC at (703) 787-9400. ***Please associate this application to customer number: 30743***

I hereby declare that all statements made herein of my own knowledge are true and that all statements made on information and belief are believed to be true; and further that these statements were made with the knowledge that willful false statements and the like so made are punishable by fine or imprisonment, or both, under Section 1001 of Title 18 of the United States Code and that such willful false statements may jeopardize the validity of the application or any patent issued thereon.

(1) Inventor: Hung-Yang Chang

Signature: _____ Date _____

Residence: 19 Kingwood Road, Scarsdale, New York 10583

Citizenship: USA

Post Office Address: Same As Residence

(2) Inventor: Tian-Jy Chao

Signature: _____ Date _____

Residence: 17 Saddle Court, Bedford, New York 10506

Citizenship: USA

Post Office Address: Same As Residence

(3) Inventor: Yu Long

Signature: _____ Date _____

Residence:

Citizenship:

Post Office Address: Same As Residence

(4) Inventor: John Y. Sayah

Signature: _____ Date _____

Residence: 150 Millard Avenue, Sleepy Hollow, New York 10591

Citizenship: USA

Post Office Address: Same As Residence

(5) Inventor: Liang-Jie Zhang

Signature: _____ Date _____

Residence: 5 Peachtree Drive, Cortlandt Manor, New York 10567

Citizenship: Peoples Republic of China

Post Office Address: Same As Residence

Title 37, Code of Federal Regulations, §1.56(a):

- (a) A duty of candor and good faith toward the Patent and Trademark Office rests on the inventor, on each attorney or agent who prepares or prosecutes the application and on every other individual who is substantively involved in the preparation or prosecution of the application and who is associated with the inventor, with the assignee or with anyone to whom there is an obligation to assign the application. All such individuals have a duty to disclose to the Office information they are aware of which is material to the examination of the application. Such information is material where there is substantial likelihood that a reasonable examiner would consider it important in deciding whether to allow the application to issue as a patent. The duty is commensurate with the degree of involvement in the preparation or prosecution of the application.
- (b) Under this section, information is material to patentability when it is not cumulative to information already of record or being made of record in the application, and (1) it establishes, by itself or in combination with other information, a prima facie case of unpatentability; or (2) it refutes, or is inconsistent with, a position the applicant takes in: (i) opposing an argument of unpatentability relied on by the Office, or (ii) asserting an argument of patentability.